

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

7 \* \* \*

8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. 2:12-CR-463 JCM (VCF)

9 Plaintiff(s),

ORDER

10 v.

11 FREDERICK VERNON WILLIAMS, et al.,

12 Defendant(s).

---

13

14 Presently before the court is defendant Frederick Williams's motion for release pending  
15 appeal (ECF No. 889) and the government's subsequent motion to strike that motion pursuant to  
16 what now appears to be Local Rule 11-6(a) (ECF No. 896). The government responded to  
17 defendant's motion, but defendant did not oppose the government's motion. (ECF No. 897).

18 Local Rule IA 11-6(a) states:

19 A party who has appeared by attorney cannot while so represented appear or act in  
20 the case. This means that once an attorney makes an appearance on behalf of a  
21 party, that party may not personally file a document with the court; all filings must  
22 thereafter be made by the attorney.

23 Plaintiff's *pro se* motion was submitted to this court on November 3, 2016. (ECF No. 889).  
24 Yet an assistant federal public defender has appeared on his behalf in this case prior to his filing  
25 of the present motion to release. *See, e.g.*, (ECF No. 817). There is no indication that defense  
26 counsel has withdrawn from the case. Therefore, this submission is in violation of Local Rule IA  
27 11-6(a).

28 Local Rule IA 1-4 allows this court to waive this rule if the interests of justice demand it.  
Defendant requests that this court exercise that authority to ignore Local Rule IA 11-6(a) in this  
case because the motion for release "argues facts unfamiliar to [defense counsel], and facts which  
Mr. Williams previously presented to the court." (ECF No. 889).

Accordingly,

DATED January 9, 2017.

James C. Mahan  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE